

1

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 3205/2005

PETITIONERS

1. Kanhaiya Lal S/o Ram Prasad Kaushik,
Aged about-48 years,
2. Ashok Kumar S/o Kholbahara Kaushik
Aged- About 25 Years
3. Santosh Kumar Kaushik S/o
Kholbahara Kaushik Aged- About 28
years.
4. Raj Kumar Kaushik S/o Ramadhar
Kaushik Aged- about 32 years.
5. Purshottam Das manikpuri S/o
Churawan Das Aged- about 29 years.
6. Suresh Kaushik S/o Rakhan Lal Aged-
about 30 years
7. Manoj Kaushik S/o Shatrudhan Lal
Aged- about 25 years
- All the petitioners from 1 to 7 are the
R/o Village- Deorikhurd Tahsil-
Takhatpur P.O. Kathakoni, Distt.
Bilaspur [C.G.]

P.R. No. 3372/05-
Presented by CKR Kashyap
dated 12/7/05

RECEIVED-COPY
18-7-05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary, Department of
Panchayat and Rural Development
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Bilaspur [C.G.]
3. Sub Divisional Officer, (Revenue)
Kota, Distt.- Bilaspur [C.G.]
4. Tahsildar, Takhatpur, Distt.- Bilaspur
(C.G.)
5. Gram Panchayat, Deorikurd,
Through- Sarpanch,
Tahsil- Takhatpur, Distt.- Bilaspur
[C.G.]



2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE
WRITS/ORDERS AND DIRECTION

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO. 3205 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>25-7-2005</u> Shri Sanjay Patel, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 4. Heard. The petitioners have preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The main grievance of the petitioners is that their houses were demolished and they were dispossessed by the Tehsildar from the land where they were residing since last more than 10 years. Being aggrieved by the action of Tehsildar, they made a representation to the Additional Collector, Bilaspur who in turn by order dated 25th June 2005 on the representation of the petitioners directed the S.D.O. Kota to rehabilitate the petitioners in accordance with rules. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that thereafter no action is being taken by the S.D.O., as such they may be allowed to make a fresh representation to the S.D.O. and he be directed to decide the representation in the light of order dated 25th June 2005 passed by the Additional Collector, Bilaspur to which learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are	allowed to make a fresh representation to the S.D.O., Kota within a

(पीठ दायित्व)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित २२-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	period of 7 days raising all their grievances and the S.D.O., Kota is directed to decide the same within a period of 30 days in accordance with law and also in compliance of the order dated 25th June 2005 passed by the Additional Collector, Bilaspur directing him to decide the application of the petitioners and to rehabilitate them in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2682/2005 and I.A. No.6219/2005 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

30/11/05
688
12/1/13